

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,249	12/12/2003	Jean Cotteret	LORE:015US	9811
. 7590 04/19/2006		EXAMINER		
Mark B. Wilson			ELHILO, EISA B	
Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 2400 °				TATER NOMBER
600 Congress Avenue Austin, TX 78701			1751	
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		''		
Office Action Summany		10/735,249	COTTERET ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Eisa B. Elhilo	1751	
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS C	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	5 February 2006.		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
·	closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6,8,11,14,17-19,22-26 and .</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6,8,11,22-26,28-51 and 56-Claim(s) 14,17-19 and 52-55</u> is/are objected Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.  78 is/are rejected.  ed to.	lication.	
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachmer	nt(s)			
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(s	)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Ster No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	_· 	

Application/Control Number: 10/735,249

Art Unit: 1751

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1 This action is responsive to the amendment filed on February 15, 2006.
- 2 The cancellation of claims 2, 5, 7, 9-10, 12-13, 15-16, 20-21 and 27 is acknowledged. Pending claims are 1, 3-4, 6, 8, 11, 14, 17-19, 22-26 and 28-78.
- Claims 1, 3-4, 6, 8, 11, 22-26, 28-51 and 56-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim et al. (US 6,461,391 B1) in view of Cottard et al. (US 6,436,151 B2) for the reasons set forth in the previous office action that mailed on August 11, 2005.
- 4 Claims 14, 17-19 and 52-55 objected to for the reasons set forth in the previous office action that mailed on August 11, 2005.

## Response to Applicant's Arguments

5 Applicant's arguments filed 2/15/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Lim et al. (US' 391 B1) in view of Cottard et al. (US' 151 B1), Applicant argues that prima facie case of obviousness has not been established because there is no motivation or suggestion to combine the teachings of the references to arrive at the claimed invention.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with the above arguments because, Lim et al. (US' 151 B2) as a primary reference teaches a composition comprising cationic tertiary paraphenylenediamine compounds (see col. 2, formula (I)) and suggests the use of genus cationic polymers in the composition as conditioners (see col. 8, lines 63-67 and col. 9, lines 18-20). Cottard et al. (US' 151 B2) as a secondary reference teaches a dyeing composition comprising the polymers of the claimed formulae (Va) and (Vb) (see cols. 19-20, formulae (a) and (VIII)).

Art Unit: 1751

Cottard et al. (US' 151 B2) also teaches the claimed species crosslinked methacryloyloxyethyltrimethylammonium chloride homopolymer as claimed in claim 1 (see col. 20, lines 50-51). Therefore, there is a sufficient motivation to one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the cationic polymers as taught by Cottard et al. (US' 151 B2) in the dyeing composition of Lim et al. (US' 391 B1) to arrive at the claimed invention with the reasonable expectation of success for conditioning purpose and would expect such a composition to have similar properties to those claimed in the absence of contrary.

Further, Applicants have not shown on record the criticality of the combination of cationic tertiary para-phenylenediamine with cationic polymers in the claimed composition over the composition of the closest prior art of record.

6 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1751

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eisa B. Elhilo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1315. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (8:00 -5:30) with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas McGinty can be reached on (571) 272-1029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eisa Elhilo

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 1751

April 15, 2006